

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,002	CHABRIER DE LASSAUNIERE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Rebecca L. Anderson.

(3) _____.

 (2) Charles A. Muserlian.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2007
Time: 7:25am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 REBECCA ANDERSON
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Applicants' representative that the 312 amendment would not be entered as it was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Additionally, the Examiner directed Applicants' representative to MPEP 711.01 for a discussion about how to properly express abandon an application after payment of the issue fee. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview since the application has already been allowed..